

Email: [muftis@themajlis.net](mailto:muftis@themajlis.net)

**18 Jamadil Ula 1433 – 10 April 2012**

### **THE STUPID 'OUTRAGE' OF THE MODERNIST MORONS**

Modernist morons suffering from the intellectually debilitating disease of mental inferiority acquired from their western secular educational masters, are blowing a lot of hot air, and kicking up dust on the issue of Qur'aanic permission to mete out physical punishment to a *naashizah* (*grossly disobedient*)

wife. The current stupid controversy centres around a book, *A Gift to the Husband and Wife* allegedly authored by Hakimul Ummat Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi (rahmatullah alayh).

The morons, exhibiting their stupidity state in their criticism: "*An Islamic marriage guide book has sparked outrage – by advising men on the best ways to beat their wives. A Gift for Muslim Couple tells husbands that they should beat their wives with "hand or stick or pull her by the ears."*

*"A marriage guidebook written by an Islamic scholar offers men advice on how to beat their wives by hand or stick, withhold money, pull them by their ears."*

*"The 160-page book claims to be a presentation for newlyweds or couples who have been together for some years. It is written by Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi, who is understood to be a prominent Islamic scholar."*

Whenever modernist morons enamoured with the cult of westernism imposed on them by their western masters are confronted with any issue of the Shariah which militates against the norms and ideas of the western kuffaar, they (modernist moron "Muslims") lapse into a stupid state of obsequious apologetism with explanations and interpretations which could be plausible and acceptable to the western kuffaar. In fact, they feel obliged to adopt ludicrous interpretations to apologise for being Muslims.

These morons have read some statements in a book which they attribute to Hadhrat Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi (rahmatullah alayh), and which are 'outrageous' to the gender equality mob. Before we deal with the bunkum of these morons, we must clarify at the very outset that the Qur'aan Majeed permits beating the wife as a last resort to save the marriage. It is kufr to apologise for this command ordained by Allah Ta'ala. It is kufr to negate this irrefutable Qur'aanic fact with baseless interpretation to soothe the raw palates of the western kuffaar and their perverse gender equality concept.

Stating Allah's command very explicitly and in emphatic abnegation of gender equality, the Qur'an says: "*Men are the rulers over women by virtue of the superiority which Allah has bestowed to some over others, and on account of the wealth they (men) spend (to maintain women).*

*Thus, the pious women are those who are obedient (to their husbands) and in the absence (of their husbands) they protect that which Allah has protected (i.e. they guard their honour, chastity and their husband's wealth).*

*And, those whose gross disobedience your fear, admonish them; separate yourselves from*

## The Modernist Morons

Written by Administrator

Tuesday, 10 April 2012 04:52 - Last Updated Tuesday, 10 April 2012 05:41

---

*them in bed, and **beat them**. Then if they obey you, then do not be unjust to them in any way. Verily, Allah is Most High, The Greatest.* (Aayat 34, An-Nisaa')

Anyone attempting to deny this Qur'aanic aayat or to interpret it to appease the western kuffaar, do so at the peril of his/her Imaan. The following episode was the circumstances for the revelation of this aayat:

Once the Sahaabi, Hadhrat Sa'd Bin Rabee' (radhiyallahu anhu) slapped his wife, Hadhrat Habeebah (radhiyallahu anha). She complained to her father, Hadhrat Zaid Bin Zuhair (radhiyallahu anhu). The father took his daughter to Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and explained what had transpired. Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) ruled that Habeebah was entitled to slap her husband with the same force with which he had slapped her.

Both father and daughter left with the intention of extracting vengeance in the manner permitted by Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam). However, before they could fulfil their desire the above mentioned Aayat was revealed, permitting the husband to beat his wife, and for which there was no reciprocal retribution. Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) immediately recalled both father and daughter. He recited the aayat and rescinded his earlier ruling.

Thus, as a last resort, the Shariah permits light-beating – not assaulting – of the wife. The Hadith makes it clear that this last resort permission is not a norm and may not be treated lightly. The command to beat stated in this aayat is not for Wujoob, i.e. it is not compulsory. Nevertheless, it is permissible beyond the slightest vestige of doubt. Indicating that the command is not for compulsion, Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said: *"The noble (men) among you do never beat (their wives)."*

Ibn Sa'd and Baihqi narrating from the Daughter of Hadhrat Abu Bakr Siddique (radhiyallahu anhu) said that initially men were totally prohibited from beating their wives. Due to this prohibition, the women became 'lionesses', hence the permission to beat then was decreed by Allah Ta'ala.

With regard to the book, Gift to the Husband and Wife, which has caused pandemonium in the ranks of the stupid gender equality mob resulting in their stupid 'outrage', the first fact for their enlightenment is that Hadhrat Maulana Ashraf Ali Thanvi (rahmatullah alayh) is not the author of it. The name of Hadhrat Thanvi appearing as the author in the cover of the English translation of the book, is false and misleading. Even the original Urdu version does not purport that Hadhrat Thanvi is the author.

Secondly, the author of the book, *Tuhfatuz Zaujain (Gift for the Spouses)*, did not claim that Hadhrat Thaavi was the author. The translator has committed this misleading blunder. Thirdly, the statements of Hadhrat Thaavi (rahmatullah alayh) which are cited in the book are extracts from other works unrelated to the question of punishment for women. The statements which the author has reproduced in his book do not concern women. The author has therefore grossly erred in having created the impression that Hadhrat Thaavi had made these statements in the context of punishing women.

Hadhrat Thaavi's statement in which is mentioned beating with a stick, ear-pulling, etc. is an extract from his kitaab, *Islaah-e-Inqilaab-e-Ummat*, in which Hadhrat explains the meaning of *Ta'zeer*.

*Ta'zeer*

is punishment in general – punishment according to the discretion of the Qaadhi in particular. It is the right of the Qaadhi to prescribe whipping or lashing with a whip or cane, or for a light offense the punishment of just pulling the criminal's ears. In fact, the Shariah permits the Qaadhi considerable latitude to employ his discretion. Thus, Qaadhis would punish a criminal by

## The Modernist Morons

Written by Administrator

Tuesday, 10 April 2012 04:52 - Last Updated Tuesday, 10 April 2012 05:41

---

blackening his face, parading him in public on a donkey, imprisonment, etc.

To mention these forms of *Ta'zeer* in the context of a book such as *Tohfatus Zaujain (A Gift for the Spouses)* is erroneous

and misleading and it is the error of the author. Whilst light beating with the hand is permissible, it is not permissible to beat the wife with a cane, stick or whip. Such punishment is for the Qaadhi when he sentences a criminal. The description of

*Ta'zeer*

explained by Hadhrat Thaanvi is relevant to the Qaadhi, not to the husband.

The modernists morons should have first consulted the Ulama before expressing their stupid 'outrage' to please their western kuffaar masters and to placate the gender equality mobs wallowing in immorality and kufr.

Furthermore, anyone who reads the book, *Tuhfatuz Zaujain* without any malicious bias will not fail to understand that Hadhrat Thaanvi (rahmatullah alayh) far from promoting corporal punishment for the wife, castigated husbands who resort to such punishment. In his book, the author cites the following statements of Hadhrat Thaanvi, which he had extracted from a variety of Hadhrat's kitaabs:

### \* **Cruelty upon the fair sex -- How merciless and cowardly!**

"If you (O husband!) have a penchant to exercise your 'valour' and authority, then why don't you seek a powerful adversary. (When an armed robber accosts you, display your valour to him, not to a weak woman whom Allah Ta'ala has subjected to you. – The Majlis) Only then will you be regarded as brave and courageous....Some men transgress even the limits and physically abuse their wives. Visualizing such a (cruel) scene is frightening (for a man of nobility). Such cruelty perpetrated on women is a sign of cowardice and chicken-heartedness which does not behove the honour of a man. It is not gallant to inflict physical abuse upon a human being who is at your mercy."

### \* Beware of the sigh of an oppressed woman

"The poor ladies are unable to do anything because males do not even consider their rights to be binding on them. (But remember!) Surely they (women) know how to curse. When the husband harasses her, her tongue is in constant movement (cursing him). The only Being she can turn to for succour is Allah Azza Wa Jal. Truly, her curses reach Allah and are readily accepted."

### \* **The aftermath of oppression**

"Live with your family members with sterling moral character and with love. Talk and joke with them. Abstain from cruelty. With regard to them, fear Allah Ta'ala. He has the power to inflict a calamity on you.....The consequences of oppression are usually manifested in this world. Severe punishment awaits the perpetrators of injustice and cruelty."

### \* **Violation of women's rights**

"Nowadays whilst men consider their own rights to be binding on women, they do not consider women's rights binding on them.....He (the husband) labours under the notion that his rights are in force simply because he has the power to compel imposition of his rights on the wife. The poor women are unable to do anything (when their rights are violated).....But the Shariah places greater emphasis on the fulfilment of rights which are not (or cannot be) demanded by a party (the wife in this case)."

### \* **Restrictions on the wife's maintenance**

"Some people place restrictions even on the necessary expenses of the wife. When she asks for something, they resort to abuse and violence and accuse her of being extravagant. They

## The Modernist Morons

Written by Administrator

Tuesday, 10 April 2012 04:52 - Last Updated Tuesday, 10 April 2012 05:41

---

create some guide lines which the wife has to observe in the matter of expenditure. Then they give them a pittance. They are miserly even when guests arrive and when medical expenses are to be paid.”

Wives are not to be subjected to such (ignorantly formulated) principles. Rather, they are subject to the circumstances and the demands of occasion. (When the need develops to spend on them, the husband should do so freely and munificently within his affordable means.)”

### \*□ **The injustices of men**

“Some men (in fact numerous men) have evil dispositions. They pursue illicit relationships with immoral (and filthy) women whereas they have pure wives like the Damsels of Jannat at home. These pure wives sit neglected at home. While the husband casts lustful gazes at immoral women, he does not spare a glance of love for his pure wife (sitting in the holy precincts of the home guarding her honour and his property).”

### \*□ **Kindness to women**

“Be kind to the wife even though she may be slovenly and ill-mannered. She has left her parents and her entire family to be only with you (O husband!). Her vision is now focused on you. She has only her husband to turn to. Human nature too demands that such a loyal person should not be subjected to any kind of difficulty.

Consider frivolous whatever bad manners and disrespect she displays towards you. After all, she is intellectually deficient (according to Allah Ta’ala. Rasulullah –sallallahu alayhi wasallam – described her as a being who is naaqisul aql – deficient in intellect). She does not possess sound judgment and discretion. Therefore she speaks in a way which is displeasing to men. Her ‘bad manners’ are nothing but a pompous show. After all, to whom other than her husband could she display her pomposity?”

The above are just a few extracts from the supposedly ‘outrageous’ book which has given umbrage to the modernist morons. Ignoring the greater part of the book which emphasises observance of women’s rights, love for wives, toleration of their ill-conduct, spending lavishly on them, faithfulness to them, etc., the morons latched on to one isolated statement which had crept into the book by error. Utilizing this unrelated statement which does not apply to wives, the modernist morons express stupid ‘outrage’ to appease the western kuffaar and the immoral gender equality mob of homosexuals, gays and lesbians.

## **WARNING**

It is appropriate to issue a warning to Muslims who may have been misled by modernist morons to beware of the corrupt interpretations of Qur’aanic aayaat and ahaadith spawned by these morons who prostrate to kuffaar concepts of libertinism. Whilst the Qur’aam commands Sajdah at fourteen verses, the modernist morons prostrate to every haraam, baatil, stupid, immoral concept of their western guides and tutors. Any interpretation which alters or abrogates any law of Islam is explicit kufr – KUFRA which eliminates Imaan and which expels one from the fold of Islam, and which assigns one to eternal damnation in the Aakhirah.

The Ahaadith of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam), the Sunnah, the ta’leem of the Sahaabah, Ulama and Auliya are replete with exhortation of kindness and observance of the right of women, not only rights, but of the demands of love which is the bedrock of a happy marriage. Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and the Sahabah deprecated beating wives. There is absolutely no encouragement for the infliction of corporal punishment to wives.

Whilst this is the norm and the Sunnah lifestyle which Muslims have to incumbently adopt, we

## The Modernist Morons

Written by Administrator

Tuesday, 10 April 2012 04:52 - Last Updated Tuesday, 10 April 2012 05:41

---

must remind Muslims that Islam does not permit mental inferiority and intellectual subservience to the concepts, ideas and filth which the brains of the western kuffaar spawn, and which they portray as their system of enlightenment when in fact their lifestyle is a breed of Satanism thoroughly drenched in immorality.

The brains of the modernist morons in the Muslim community have been colonized and welded to the kufr and immoral ideas with which they are indoctrinated during their pursuit of secular education. They lap up every filth which is disgorged at university and other kuffaar educational institutions.

Muslims should understand well, that despite the Shariah's emphasis on extreme kindness to the wife, and despite the emphasis on abstention from inflicting physical punishment on the wife, the very bottom line which is integral to the validity of Imaan is to unconditionally accept and believe that the ***Qur'aan does permit the husband to beat his wife***. Nothing can detract from this Qur'aanic truth. It is haraam for Muslims to apologize for this Qur'aanic truth. Allah Ta'ala has allowed the wife to be lightly beaten if circumstances demand this measure. Anyone who apologizes for being a Muslim - anyone who seeks to interpret away this explicit Qur'aanic command or to deny it becomes a

### **MURTAD**

. He/she loses his/her Imaan by viewing the Deen with a vision clouded by a western-colonized brain.

Despite the lofty pedestal which Islam assigns woman, Muslims may not deny the transcendental, Qur'aanic truth that men are the rulers of women. Allah Ta'ala has granted men superiority over women. Muslim women should understand and accept without the slightest objection and reluctance this Qur'aanic truth. Any prevarication in belief on this issue or any other Shar'i is *kufr*.

Muslims should proclaim their Deen from the rooftops. The disease of mental inferiority and apologetism contagiously acquired from modernist morons who lap up every kufr theory and idea dinned into their ears by their university professors, tutors and the orientalist enemies of Islam, erodes and ultimately annihilates Imaan. These westernized morons need to know that Islam and the Ummah have no need for them. They cannot walk along two divergent pathways – the Path of the Deen and the kufr path of their western educational masters, which is the road to Jahannam.

If these westernized morons and serfs of the orientalists find Islamic teachings and Qur'aanic truths such as the permissibility to beat the wife, the nuqs fil aql of women, the superiority of men, etc., humiliating, then let them make their exit from Islam. These munaafiqeen should cease masquerading as Muslims. Islam is not their home, and Islam does not need traitors who set themselves up as reformers of the Deen, and guides of the Ummah.

Allah Ta'ala commands in the Qur'aan:

***“O People of Imaan! Enter into Islam fully (totally, completely), and do not follow in the footsteps of shaitaan. Verily he is your open enemy.”***

(Baqarah, aayat 208)

Partial belief – accepting part and rejecting part – is not Imaan. It is kufr. Rejecting just one aayat of the Qur'aan or one established teaching of the Deen is kufr which annihilates Imaan. Thus, the Shariah's ruling on the elimination of Imaan is stated by the Fuqaha with clarity:

***“Whilst Miswaak is Sunnah, its rejection is kufr.”***

Rejection of any aspect or detail of Islam is kufr which expels the miscreant from the fold of Islam. So, beware before you propound a stupid, modernist idea.

## The Modernist Morons

Written by Administrator

Tuesday, 10 April 2012 04:52 - Last Updated Tuesday, 10 April 2012 05:41

---