

NIFAAQ AND BACK-STABBING

Written by Administrator
Monday, 15 June 2020 12:28 -

THE NIFAAQ AND BACK-STABBING OF REVEREND ABRAHAM BHAM

True to his trademark form of *Nifaaq*, the Munaafiq Reverend Abraham Bham has repeated his treachery in the Musaaqid saga. Fifteen years ago he had revealed his *nifaaq* during the cartoons saga. The Sunday Times was on the verge of publishing blasphemous cartoons disparaging and heinously insulting Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). Alhamdulillah, the High Court interdicted the Sunday Times, hence the vituperative cartoons were not published.

For a better understanding of the hypocritical role played by Bham, the Cross-Worshiper who had stabbed the Ummah in the back by entering into a clandestine agreement with the Sunday Times, we reproduce here our commentary on that saga which was published early in the year 2005.

THE CARTOONS!!

SUNDAY TIMES BOYCOTT CONTINUES!!!

"None of you is a true Mu'min as long as I (i.e. Muhammad) am not more beloved to him than his parents and himself." (Hadith)

An integral constituent for the validity of Imaan is *Hubb-e-Rasulullah* (the love for Rasulullah – sallallahu alayhi wasallam). Certain sections of the non-Muslim press have committed the unpardonable crime of deliberately and defiantly heaping insult on our beloved Nabi (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) with their flippant and insulting cartoons of blasphemy. In the execution of our bounden duty to defend the honour of our Nabi Muhammad (sallallahu alayhi wasallam), the Ulama of South Africa had applied to the High Court for an interdict to restrain the newspapers

NIFAAQ AND BACK-STABBING

Written by Administrator
Monday, 15 June 2020 12:28 -

from publishing the insulting garbage-cartoons. By the fadhil of Allah Ta'ala an interim Order was granted and the newspapers have been restrained from publication of the blasphemous cartoons.

The need to apply for the court interdict was the consequence of the Sunday Time's arrogant and defiant refusal to offer the Muslim community the assurance that it would not publish the blasphemous insults which the cartoons represent against Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam). The Muslim community further instituted a boycott of the Sunday Times. Alhamdulillah! The boycott proved effective, hence the Sunday Times entered into a clandestine meeting with the Jamiatul Ulama of Transvaal. The Transvaal Jamiat, to the dismay of the Ulama of the country and the Muslim community as a whole, unilaterally behind the backs of its counterparts in the other provinces, especially the Jamaitul Ulama of Natal, came to some 'agreement' with the Sunday Times, and decided to encourage Muslims to discontinue the boycott in spite of the fact that the very cause which led to the interdict and the boycott remains today. In fact, that cause and fear of publication have been magnified by the renewed defiance and arrogance of the Sunday Times. The unilateral act of the Transvaal Jamiat will, Insha'Allah, be discussed in some other forum.

While the Sunday Times has offered the Transvaal Jamiat free pages of advertisement in its bid to get the boycott cancelled, it has simultaneously declared its arrogant stance of 'freedom of expression' to bring within the scope of this ludicrous ideology the 'right' to publish the insulting cartoons; the 'right' to publish any type of denigration regardless how sacred and dear the injunctions of Imaan are to the Muslims of the world; its justification to refrain from offering the Muslim community the assurance that it will not print the present set of insulting cartoons as well as any insult to our Deen in the future. Thus, despite publishing the full-page treacherous advertisement of the Jamiatul Ulama of Transvaal in its issue of 12th February, 2005, the Sunday Times states in its editorial:

- *"When lawyers of the Jamiatul Ulama approached us with the demand that we not publish the cartoons, we responded the way any self-respecting news organisation would – we refused."*

- *"What we will never do, however, is shy away from delivering to our readers the truth in its entirety – ev
y
en*

NIFAAQ AND BACK-STABBING

Written by Administrator
Monday, 15 June 2020 12:28 -

*if it
makes them
uncomfortable. This
we will continue
to do through hard-hitting
news and
insightful commentary
. Our
illustrators, columnists and cartoonists
will be
expected to be forthright in
their
interpretation of society."*

*- "... But religious groupings will also have to accept that this is a secular society which
protects
e rights
of both believers
and non-
believers.
The
y
will have to accept that,
inasmuch
as our
Constitution
protects
the rights of the rest of society to have opinions about those beliefs.
"* *th*

Adding salt to the already created wounds in the hearts of the Muslims of the world, and mocking the ludicrous advertisement of the Jamiatul Ulama of Transvaal which has chosen to support. the vilifiers of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam), the inconsiderate Sunday Times, alongside its editorial prints an article which expounds savagely blasphemous views which are in the first instance directed to the Muslim community:

The unbeliever, in his despicable article, asks the question; **"Does freedom of expression, as a constitutional right in a secular constitution order, include the right to criticise, mock or even denigrate the religious beliefs of those whom religious faith is fundamental?"** The evil article then proceeds to justify this imagined satanic 'right' to revile, denigrate, insult and malign the beliefs of religion. Among the blasphemy of the author are the following:

NIFAAQ AND BACK-STABBING

Written by Administrator
Monday, 15 June 2020 12:28 -

- "There has been much comment about responsible free speech, and free speech that properly respects the deepest religious convictions of others. But these are just empty formulations, because at the heart of the right to free speech is the right to say things that others find offensive."

- "Freedom is about this right to say things that show no respect for the belief system of others, save for their right to hold that belief."

- "...no belief system can claim this primacy, nor claim immunity from attack or mockery simply because it consists of beliefs that are strongly held."

It is abundantly clear from the arrogant stance of the Sunday Times and its explicit policy of espousing blasphemy, that the Transvaal Jamiat's 'agreement' with the Sunday Times agreeing to call for a discontinuation of the *Wajib* boycott is palpable nonsense and a great disservice to Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) whose honour the Ummah is obliged to defend – whose honour the vile cartoons insult.

As a minority community living in a non-Muslim secular country, the Shariah permits us to resort to all peaceful and lawful methods to defend the honour of Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam). To go to war against a non-Muslim state for defending Islam is the incumbent duty of the Islamic state. But, as citizens of the non-Muslim state we have to defend the honour of Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) within the parameters of the law of the land. And, one of the lawful ways is to BOYCOTT THE SUNDAY TIMES which intransigently maintains its pre-interdict stance.

In its self-same issue of 12th February 2005, on the editorial page, the Sunday Times ran a set of cartoons which also insults Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam). But the Transvaal Jamiat is too dim to see the veiled insult which the Sunday Times levelled against Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) in its own set of cartoons. It is truly saddening to observe that the Jamiatul Ulama of Transvaal has overlooked this latest insult, and that it has thrown in its lot with the Sunday Times against the Ulama of the country and against the Muslim community.

We call on Muslims to understand their obligation of defending the sacred honour of Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam). You are not being asked to sacrifice your life and your money. You are urged to offer a minimal act and a token of love for your Nabi (sallallahu alayhi wasallam). If you love Rasulallah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) – and most assuredly you do – then institute the following action:

NIFAAQ AND BACK-STABBING

Written by Administrator
Monday, 15 June 2020 12:28 -

(1) CONTINUE THE BOYCOTT OF THE SUNDAY TIMES

(2) DON'T BUY – DON'T SELL THE SUNDAY TIMES

(3) CANCEL YOUR SUNDAY TIMES SUBSCRIPTION

(4) INCINERATE THE SUNDAY TIMES WHICH YOUR EXISTING

SUBSCRIPTION BRINGS TO YOUR DOOR

(5) IGNORE THE CALL OF THE TRANSVAAL JAMIATUL ULAMA

WHICH IS NOTHING BUT SUPPORT FOR THOSE SUBSCRIBE TO BLASPHEMY.

This is the minimum which is expected of every Muslim who has the love and honour of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) at heart. While the Transvaal Jamiatul Ulama has aligned itself with the Sunday Times and has come out in open support of that paper against the Ummah, the following Ulama Organisations urge you to continue with the boycott:

(1) JAMIATUL ULAMA KWAZULU-NATAL

(2) JAMIATUL ULAMA GAUTENG (formerly known as Tahaffuz-e-Shariat Ulama Council of Gauteng)

NIFAAQ AND BACK-STABBING

Written by Administrator
Monday, 15 June 2020 12:28 -

(3) JAMIATUL ULAMA EASTERN CAPE

(4) MUJLISUL ULAMA OF SOUTH AFRICA

ALIGN YOURSELF WITH MUHAMMADUR RASULULLAH (sallallahu alayhi wasallam), and be assured of his *Shafa-ah* in Qiyaamah!!!!!!!!!!!!

Issued by: Mujlisul Ulama of SA, P.O. Box 3393, Port Elizabeth 6056

(End of the Commentary)

Muslims should understand that in the treacherous somersaulting of the Cross-Worshipper in the cartoons saga, and in his villainous opposition to the endeavour to open the Masaajid, there is no surprise. Such treachery is only to be expected from the Munaafiqeen. He had accepted the blasphemous stance of the Sunday Times vilification of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) on the basis of 'freedom of speech'. For this treacherous Munaafiq, the constitutional right of 'freedom of speech' has greater importance and merit than defending the honour of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam).

NIFAAQ AND BACK-STABBING

Written by Administrator
Monday, 15 June 2020 12:28 -

Allah Ta'ala has exposed the *nifaaq* of this man. His aversion for Islam had blinded whatever little brains he still may possess. Therefore he failed to understand his exercise of self-exposure when he decided to vigorously oppose the Muslim Community's attempt to have the Musaaqid opened. Tony Karaan acting as a stooge for the Bham character, came aboard to assist the vile plot to retain the ban on the Musaaqid.

Muslims should not labour in doubt and deception regarding the status of those who became the 'friends' of the court in their nefarious bid to have the ban of the Musaaqid and Salaat retained. When a person who professes to be a Muslim believes that the Houses of Allah Ta'ala are the worst spreaders of disease, then there can be no doubt in his *nifaaq*. While Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said that disease is diverted from the Musaaqid to go elsewhere, these Munaafiqeen, in denial of our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam), say that the Musaaqid are the most fertile terrain for spreading disease.

The NNB cartel of evil molvis, the MJC mudhilleen, the Bogus uucsa and all similar other Munaafiqeen are the forerunners and the satanic architects of frauding a new religion in the name of 'islam'. This shaitaani process has been set in motion years ago. It is currently being accelerated by the transformation of the Musaaqid into some form of bizarre temples in the same way as the Yahood have transformed their original Musaaqid into synagogues, and the Christians have disfigured their Musaaqid into churches.

UNDERSTAND WELL AND REMEMBER THAT IT IS NOT PERMISSIBLE TO JOIN THE FONG KONG HARAAM KUFR CONGREGATION IN A MUSJID. SALAAT WILL NOT BE VALID. ALL THOSE WHO HAD PERFORMED SALAAT IN THE HIDEOUSLY DISGUSTING METHOD OF KUFR AND SATANISM PREVAILING IN MANY MUSAAJID, SHOULD INCUMBENTLY REPEAT THEIR SALAAT.

22 Shawwaal 1441 – 15 June 2020