

THE RED HERRING

TAQIYAH OF A SHIAH

Taqiyah is the Holy Hypocrisy-Holy Lies doctrine of the Shiah religion. In terms of the Shiah religion of Kufr, practising Taqiyah is one of the loftiest acts of piety. In fact, it is integral to Shiah belief. The satanic belief requires the adherents of Shi'ism to conceal their kufr with lies and to dupe Muslims with lies in order to gain the confidence of the People of the Sunnah. Shi'ism with its pernicious doctrine of Taqiyah sanctifies, glorifies and glamourizes LIES disgorged in the name of religion.

A Shiah, one Abdul Salam Islam, in a propaganda stunt to pull wool over the eyes of unwary and ignorant Muslims, published an article, *Beware of Propaganda Against Shi'ism*. In his baseless article employing Taqiyah, he abortively attempted to respond to and refute the book on Shi'ism authored by Maulana Khalid Dhorat.

The essay written by the Shiah Abdul Salam Islam, is a typical sample of Shi'i red herring obfuscation. It attempts, but fails, to divert the focus from the actual beliefs of kufr of the Shiah religion and cloud the issue of Shiah KUFR with peripheral issues unrelated to its (Shi'ism's) fundamental doctrines of kufr which cast Shiahs out of the fold of Islam into the dregs of Jahannam.

The Shiah lost soul lamentably seeks to equate Shi'ism with Islam for gaining acceptability within the ranks of Muslims, the Ahlus Sunnah Wal Jama'ah. It is indeed queer that whilst Muslims have no desire to be aligned with Shiahs, the latter (i.e. Shiahs) are perennially at pains to proclaim that shiahs and Sunnis are 'brothers'. While Shiahs are always wooing the Ahlus Sunnah in their desperate plot to gain the confidence of Muslims, the latter shun them and are averse to their hypocritical overtures of 'brotherhood'. Muslims can never be brothers or friends with rubbishes who

- Deny the authenticity of the Qur'aan
- Claim that the vast majority of the Sahaabah became murtad after the demise of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam)
- Accuse Hadhrat Aishah (radhiyallahu anha) of having committed adultery
- Utter special, vile curses on Hadhrat Aishah and the first three Khulafa of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam).

Besides the abovementioned acts of Shi'i kufr, the evil and villainy of Shiahs in both belief and practice, are numerous. All of their vile kufr and filth is well documented in their own books of theology. Numerous Ulama of the Ahlus Sunnah have written elaborate expositions to lay bare the filth and kufr of the Shiahs.

The Shiah writer of the *ghutha* essay attempts to pass off Shi'ism for Muslim consumption by avoiding the charges which Muslims level against the doctrines and practices of Shiahs. His claim that shiahs are Muslims simply because they ostensibly assert their belief in Allah and Prophethood of Muhammad (sallallahu alayhi wasallam), does not make them *Muslims*

. Qadianis as well as other deviates also subscribe to this kalimah. But mere profession of the kalimah is inadequate for validity of Imaan. The brief Kailmah formula comes with all its essential demands and requisites – fundamental doctrines and articles of faith, rejection of just one rendering the denier a kaafir.

Shiahs refute, deny and rubbish not just one of Islam's fundamental requisites. It denies the WHOLE of Islam as believed by Muslims. Denial of the authenticity of the Qur'aan is tantamount to the denial of the WHOLE of Islam. Similarities in a handful of practices do not elevate a man to the pedestal of Imaan and Islam. But the fact is that Shiahs do not resemble Muslim in even a few beliefs and practices.

The Shiah's claim of believing in the Qur'aan – the Qur'aan which we Muslims believe in – does not save the Shiah kufr skin from the edict of KUFUR which Muslims apply to Shiahs. Our contention is structured on sound grounds. It is not a joke to label as *kaafir* an entire community of a hundred million souls. The Ahlus Sunnah has a solid basis for proclaiming Shiahs kaafir.

The assurances of the Shiah, Abdul Salam Islam, as to the hallucinated correctness of Shiah

beliefs, may be fool morons among the Muslims. Perhaps he too is a moron if he sincerely believes that the doctrines of Shi'ism are not kufr. For ascertaining Shiah beliefs regarding the Qur'aan, the Sahaabah, etc., etc., articles written in defense of Shi'ism, are red herrings and a waste of time. The need is to research the *kutub (kitaabs)* of the Shiah clergy. What their great priests say and write is the criterion for understanding and judging Shi'ism. What moron writers say is of absolutely no significance for determining the beliefs of Shi'ism.

The Mujlisul Ulama of S.A. has published the following books in exposition of Shi'ism:

(1) Shi'ism Part 1

(2) Shi'ism Part 2

(3) Shi'ism Exposed

(4) Who are the Shiah.

(5) Why I left Shi'ism *(This is an essay by a former top Shi'i cleric who abandoned Shi'ism, and who was in consequence murdered by the Shiahs)*

In addition, Maulana Manzoor No'maani as well as other Ulama have written much on Shi'ism. All of the abovementioned books cite the original *kutub* of the Shiah religion. The charges we lay against Shi'ism are not based on opinion or hearsay. We have structured our case of KUFR on the beliefs of Shi'ism as propounded by the highest ranking Shiah clergy (Mujtahids and Aayatullas) in their own books of theology.

Muslims should not be beguiled unto the snare of self-pitying Shiah writers who are at pains to depict Shi'ism as Islam. Don't be befooled by the Shiah writer's averment:

“...they (i.e. Shiahs) do not disregard the companionship of the Sahabas nor do scholars encourage hatred towards Sunni.”

Read what their highest-tanking scholars say about the Sahaabah and the hatred they propagate for the Ahlus Sunnah spearheaded by the Sahaabah. For gaining political capital and for political expediency, the Shiah notoriously resort to their Taqiyah belief of holy hypocrisy.

It devolves on the morons who abortively seek to vindicate Shi'ism to debunk with logical and rational facts the numerous citations of kufr which we have extracted from their own *kutub*, and which we have published. Read the books we have enumerated above. Write to us for copies of these books.

Mr. Abul Salam Islam, the Shi'i writer, despite saying, ignorantly or by Taqiyah design, that Shiahs accept the Sahaabah, is constrained by the force of the reality of Shiah kufr to say:

“Historical facts prove that the Prophet (pbuh) did appoint a successor a few months before his death...”

Now what is the logical conclusion of this veiled slander against the Sahaabah in general, and against Hadhrat Abu Bakr (radhiyallahu anhu) and Hadhrat Umar (radhiyallahu anhu) in particular? The conclusion of this Shi'i LIE is that the Sahaabah had rejected the appointment made by Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam); that Hadhrat Abu Bakr (radhiyallahu anhu), first, and Hadhrat Umar (radhiyallahu anhu), second, and Hadhrat Uthmaan (radhiyallahu anhu), third, had usurped the Khilafate by having rejected the express appointment of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam). And, what is the conclusion of rejecting the order of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam)? Every moron Muslim will understand that a person who rejects the command of Rasulullah (sallallahu alayhi wasallam) is a kaafir. It is on this very basis as outlined above, that Shiahs proclaim that the first three Khulafa were the worst of kuffaar, hence invoking *la'nat* (curse) on them is an act of lofty ibaadat. Don't be trapped in Shiah kufr and their 'holy' lies.

There is no academic expertise displayed in the Shiah moron's criticism of Maulana Dhorat's book. He (i.e. the Shiah) endeavours to compile a puzzle from incongruent pieces of self-opinion based on generalities. He struggles to present a coherent picture which will depict

Shiahs as Muslims and as 'brothers' of the Ahlus Sunnah, when the reality denies such propaganda.

He lauds Khomeni and cites some deceptive statements of Shiah clerics while ignoring what Khomeini said about the Sahaabah. All the facts on which we base our view are available. The Shiahs have failed to conceal their books of theology in which their beliefs of kufr and belief of hatred for the Sahaabah are cast in stone. It does not serve the cause of the Shiah writer to resort to obfuscation in his defense of Shi'ism. He will gain nothing. He should rather respond to the charges which we have structured on the basis of the beliefs which are very conspicuously and unambiguously recorded in the highest-ranking books of Shiah theology, and made by the highest-ranking priests of Shi'ism.

Shiahs are not Muslims. Shi'ism is a religion entirely apart from Islam. Shi'ism is anathema to Islam. Those who hate the Sahaabah – those who slander Hadhrat Aishah (radhiyallahu anha) can never be the friends and brothers of Muslims.

21 Ramadhaan 1435 – 19 July 2014