

VIEW ON HIJAAB

Written by Administrator
Thursday, 18 February 2016 09:34 -

A MORON 'SHEIKH'S' CORRUPT VIEW ON HIJAAB

QUESTION

Please review the article by some miscreant sheikh criticizing Hijaab

What is the status of one who criticizes Hijaab? Please respond to this deviate.

ANSWER

The 'sheikh' who contends that Hijaab is "not an Islamic duty" is a *Ghabi* and his status at the best is that of a donkey, and at the worst that of a Zindeeq-Kaafir. His *ghabaawah (intellectual density)* has given effect to his appallingly moronic view. His stupid view creates no controversy in valid learned circles of Ulama. Ulama understand that only a *jaahil* suffering from the malady of gross *ghabaawah* is capable of disgorging the type of drivel the Al-Azhar sheikh has ventured.

Al-Azhar is a phantom "Sunni seat of learning". It is a modernist zindeeq institution controlled by the kuffaar Egyptian rulers. Al-Azhar in today's era holds no status in terms of the Shariah. Its convoluted, corrupt fatwas are designed for the trash can.

The moron sheikh's claim that Hijaab refers to the head cover, amply illustrates his stupidity and lack of *Ilmi* expertise. If the *Ghabi* was genuinely qualified in the Shariah, he would not have made a fool and a clown of himself with his disgorgement of tripe. His claim that Hijaab is not mentioned in the Qur'aan, is laughable. This claim is the evidence for his *jahaalat*.

The Aayaat of Hijaab are so conspicuously stated in the Qur'aan and Ahaadith that it will constitute a sheer squander of time to reproduce them. Our books on Hijaab explain the evidences.

The Niqaab (Face-Veil) is as old as Islam. Only a moron lost in compound ignorance disgraces himself by uttering laughable absurdities. The PhD candidate has acquitted himself like a donkey by displaying his stupidity. He is just like a person who drops his pants to put up his

satr

for shameless display. Since he lacks intelligence, he is unable to understand the mockery he makes of himself.

Leave alone interpreting and misinterpreting the Qur'aanic aayaat, the moron has failed to understand even the literal translation of the Verses. It appears that his brains are vermiculated by satanic *rijs*. It comes in the Hadith that shaitaan even urinates into the ears of some people. This *ghabi* appears to be a candidate for satanic urination, hence the derangement of his intellectual faculty.

The claim of following 'ancient scholars' further illustrates this moron's *ghabaawah*. Everything of Islam is 'ancient'. Islam's Tauheed is ancient. All the Aqaaid and A'maal are ancient. The Rasool is ancient. The Sahaabah are ancient. The Qur'aan is ancient. The entire edifice of the Shariah is ancient. Jannat is ancient, the Malaikah are ancient, and everything is ancient except the rubbish which morons excrete.

Allah's decree of finality of Nubuwwah confirms the validity of every ancient institution of Islam. There is no modern Nabi who will come to overhaul the ancient, Divine Shariah which Allah Ta'ala has completed and perfected more than fourteen centuries ago. What the ancient scholars said, contrary to the understanding of the stupid sheikh, is sacred, and what the Ijma' of the Ummah has upheld is the inviolable Law of Allah Azza Wa Jal. Such Ijma' is not the subject of Ijtihad as the *Ghabi* avers. The moron who suffers from vermiculation of the brain is never a candidate for ijihad. He is too dense in the brains to understand the meaning of Ijtihad as employed by the Aimmah Mujtahideen of the Khairul Quroon epoch. We are certain that this moron sheikh lacks expertise in even the rudimentary masaa-il pertaining to Istinja. Like all modernists and western bootlickers and bootleggers, this moron must be relieving himself in

the fashion of asses and cattle. A man who executes correctly the requisites of Istinja will not utter the type of rubbish which this moron has excreted.

The moron's contention that the *Umoom (Generality)* of the Qur'aanic aayaat should be subjected to the narrow ambit of their historical context, is a loud proclamation of his *jahl-e-murakkab (compound ignorance)*.

The Qur'aan was revealed for all time, to encompass all spheres of life in every detail until the Day of Qiyaamah, hence no new Scripture will be forthcoming. The Aayaat of the Qur'aan are not antique, and may not be antiquated within the narrow confines of *causes of revelation (Shaan-e-Nuzool)*.

It is precisely the principle of

Umoom

which will encompass all expediencies and all developments until the Day of Qiyaamah.

Contrary to what the jaahil claims, the Ulama have not formulated the masaa-il of Hijaab by way of interpretation, and contrary to what the moron says, the Ulama do not say that Hijab means the veil or the khimaar or the jilbaab, etc. This modernist donkey sheikh does not understand even the meaning of Hijaab. Hijaab is a whole concept inherited from Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). The details of Hijaab are all acquired from the *Uswah Hasanah* of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and the Sahabah.

The niqaab, jilbaab, khimaar, etc. are items of Hijaab. Each one item is not the be all of Hijaab. Remaining within the confinement of the home is Hijaab, Wearing the veil is Hijaab, Segregation between males and females is Hijaab. Restraining the gaze from the opposite sex is Hijaab. Covering the head is Hijaab. Covering the Satr is Hijaab. Hijaab is a multifaceted Institution of Islam consisting of a plethora of artefacts. It is not what the *Ghabi* has understood.

The *Ahkaam of Hijaab* are not the products of the stupid reasoning of donkeys who confine the meaning to the disgorgement of their whimsical fancy. Thus, the moron's claim that Hijaab is confined to a partition on the basis of the Qur'aanic verse which commands a screen between male and female when there is a need for speaking to one another, displays his stupidity and his egregiously defective smattering of textual knowledge.

He further denudes himself, displaying his *jahaalat* with his ignorance of the principles (*Usool*) of Fiqh. He confines the Qur'aanic verse pertaining to the screen to the Wives of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). In so doing, he illustrates colossal ignorance of the

VIEW ON HIJAAB

Written by Administrator
Thursday, 18 February 2016 09:34 -

Usool
such as
Dalaalatun Nass,
etc

While an academic discussion is possible with a person of knowledge, it is absurd to engage a donkey in such debate.

The moron's *jahaalat* is scandalous and ludicrous. He is scandalously unaware of the meaning of even *jilbaab*. If he had even a mediocre awareness of Hadith, he would have known exactly what a *jilbaab* is. He would then not have criticized the *Niqaab* which is integral to the *Jilbaab* which the *Sahaabiyaat* used to don. This is explained in greater detail in our book on *Hijaab*. His argument that the intent underlying the command of the *jilbaab* is merely to cover the breast further displays his *ghabaaw* *ah*. This shaitaan's brains are truly dense or beyond ordinary density, for he is stupidly unaware of simple facts – what a *jilbaab* is and which parts of the body it covers. According to the authentic Hadith, a *jilbaab* was so large that it would cover two women completely. Every woman would wear such a large *jilbaab*, and according to the authentic Hadith, they would cover their faces with the *jilbaab* exposing only one eye to see the ground where they walked. But what can be expected from the understanding of a moron who lacks expertise in even the *masaa-il* of *Istinja*? He should learn the art of practical *Istinja*, for only then will the density of his brains begin to thaw.

The understanding and interpretations of moron sheikhs, stupid historians, and old aunts whose brains are defective have absolutely no status in the Shariah. All such opinions are designed for flushing down the sewerage drains.

In fact, the historic background of the *Hijaab Aayaat* and *Ahaadith* all confirm the validity and incumbency of *Hijaab* as expounded by the *Fuqaha* of Islam. The views of modernists and morons, and of *Ghabi* sheikhs have absolutely no significance and no status in the Shariah other than the status of trash.

The 'D' in the scrap degree, PhD which some moron sheikhs and molvis flaunt, signifies 'DONKEY'. About such donkeys, who follow their lusts and shaitaan, the Qur'aan Majeed states:

“Recite to them the story of the one to whom We had bestowed Our Aayaat (Laws), then he extricated himself therefrom (i.e. he reneged) and he followed shaitaan (like these moron PhDonkeys). Thus he became of the deviates.....he followed his lust, hence his similitude is like that of a dog. If you attack it, it pants or if you leave it, it (also) pants (stupidly-like these PhDonkeys). He is like those who have belied Our Aayaat (Ahkaam of the Deen such as Hijaab). Therefore, narrate the stories (of bygone times) for perhaps they may reflect (and gain guidance).”

(A’raaf, Aayat 175 and 176)

Elsewhere the Qur’aan, describing these PhDonkeys, says:

“The similitude of those to whom the Tauraah was entrusted, but then failed to fulfil the trust, is like that of a DONKEY which carries books, Vile indeed is the similitude of people who belie the Laws of Allah. And, Allah does not guide people who are (flagrant) transgressors (such as these PhDonkeys and Dogs).

(Al-Jumuah, Aayat 5)

The Qur’aan likens those who enter into the fold of *Zandaqah* (kufr deviation) with their misinterpretations and distortion of the Shariah to donkeys and dogs. This is the analogy most befitting the moron PhDonkey shaikhs and molvis who mutilate the Shariah with their bootlicking misinterpretations which are the effect of western modernism and liberalism.

VIEW ON HIJAAB

Written by Administrator

Thursday, 18 February 2016 09:34 -

8 Jamadul Awwal 1437 (18 February 2016)