

## UUCSA NAME DISPUTE AND OUR BRIEF RESPONSE

Written by UUCSA

Sunday, 26 March 2017 10:47 - Last Updated Sunday, 26 March 2017 11:23

---

27 Jamadal Ukhra 1438

26 March 2017

*THE BAATIL UUCSA NAME DISPUTE AND OUR BRIEF RESPONSE TO THE KALB PATEL OF BAATIL BOGUS 'UUCSA'*

*Re: the high court ruling*

OUR DETAILED RESPONSE SHALL, INSHA-ALLAH, FOLLOW IN DUE COURSE

Kalb Yusuf Patel, masquerading as the 'secretary general' of UUCSA, gloatingly on the basis of a kuffaar court ruling, which has no validity in the Shariah, has issued a statement in which he has exaggerated the findings of the kuffaar court. Since this is merely a very *brief* response to the Kalb's haraam propaganda on behalf of the NNB Jamiat (NO NAME BRAND JAMIAT OF FORDSBURG), whose illegitimate baby is BOGUS 'uucsa' whose objective is to make the Qur'aan and the Sunnah (The Shariah) subservient and adaptable to the kufr secular Constitution, we shall herein confine ourselves to some salient facts for the edification and guidance of those Muslims who are concerned with the Haqq of the Deen and who understand the *butlaa*  
*n, fisq and fujoor*  
the NNB

## UUCSA NAME DISPUTE AND OUR BRIEF RESPONSE

Written by UUCSA

Sunday, 26 March 2017 10:47 - Last Updated Sunday, 26 March 2017 11:23

---

*Zindeeq*

crowd of moron molvis led by the murtad Reverend Abraham Bham who had sold his Imaan in a Christian church under the Christian cross.

### **The effect of the court's ruling**

1. The court did NOT decree that the Respondent (i.e. GENUINE UUCSA) is 'fake' as Kalb Patel gloatingly claims in his statement of baatil.

2. The *mala fides* argument is a technical rule which has legal application in terms of an Act of Law, in this case the Trade Marks Act. It has no moral impact, least of all in terms of the Shariah.

3. The court found that:

## UUCSA NAME DISPUTE AND OUR BRIEF RESPONSE

Written by UUCSA

Sunday, 26 March 2017 10:47 - Last Updated Sunday, 26 March 2017 11:23

---

[Download full article](#)