

SALMAN NADWI'S HINDU BOOT-LICKING HARAAM 'FATWA'

QUESTION

Asalaamu Alaykum Wa Rahmatullahi Wa Barakatuhu

Yesterday, the Muslim Personal Law Board held a meeting in Hyderabad (India) related to the Babri Masjid and they concluded that they won't compromise on the land of Babri Masjid and they will fight the case in the courts.

On the contrary Maulana Salman Nadwi after meeting with a kaafir boet (idol) pujari endorses that there should be an "out of court" settlement, and even if it means that we should compromise on the land of the Babri Masjid for the sake of a temple, we should do so, so that we can build another Musjid "in a land allotted somewhere else".

There has been a huge uproar in the Media and the Muslims are divided in their own opinion. While some supporting the stance of Maulana Salman Nadwi, others are supporting the stance of the Muslim personal law board.

Please comment on this issue. What is the actual stance of the Shariah?

ANSWER

The stance of the Shariah on the issue of Musjids is clearer than daylight at the zenith. A Musjid is termed, *The House of Allah*. Once a land has been made Waqf for a Musjid, it will remain a Musjid even after the Day of Qiyaamah. All the plots of land on which Musjids have been built will be incorporated into the land of Jannat.

There is absolutely no way of desanctifying and de-Musjidizing a Musjid. It will remain a Musjid forever even if a temple or a brothel has been built on it by the worshippers of Shaitaan. A Musjid can never ever be sold or bartered away or alienated in any way whatsoever.

What the character Salman Nadwi disgorged about an '*out of court settlement*' to make way for the construction of an abode for idol-worship – for kufr and shirk, is pure,

unadulterated bootlicking – licking the boots of the Hindu boet-pujaaris. Whatever this chap's satanic motive for his bootlicking stupidity may be, is known to only Allah Ta'ala. If he is a 'maulana', he appears lamentably stupid for disgorging the haraam flotsam of exchanging the Babri Masjid for land somewhere else. The worst satanistic aspect of his stupid 'fatwa' is that he agrees for constructing a temple to worship idols on the Masjid's site. He appears to be a hidden Hindu.

A Masjid built on any other allotted land will be a new Masjid. It will not be the Babri Masjid which the idol-worshippers have usurped by force and violence with the active connivance of India's Hindu government. These worshippers of Satan have forcibly usurped and wrenched the Babri Masjid from the Muslim community. Although this was a punishment from Allah Ta'ala for Muslims, we are not in a position to comment on that aspect. Our comments are restricted to the ostensible law of the Shariah.

It is the incumbent obligation of the down-trodden, weak and morally degenerate Muslim community of India to adopt all lawful methods, such as the courts, in a bid to reclaim the Babri Masjid land. There should be absolutely no compromise. It is haraam to accept any other land in exchange for the Babri Masjid land. That Land will remain a Masjid and shall, Insha-Allah, reclaim its status in Jannat.

If the community fails in its bid in the court, they should accept it as Allah's Decree, increase Istighfaar and Taubah, and reform their absolutely rotten moral condition, and this has greater applicability for the Ulama. If Muslims are unable to reclaim the Babri Masjid by lawful means, let the followers of the Devil keep it. But never should Muslims settle and compromise.

Salman Nadwi, the Hindu bootlicker should hang his head in shame. If he happens to be associated with a Madrasah, the authorities should give him the boot for his Hindu-bootlicking.

29 Jamadil Awwal 1439 -16 February 2018