

**House Of The Munaafiqeen**

***A RESPONSE TO THE THREAT OF THE MUNAAFIQEEN AND ZANADAQAH TO HAVE  
CRIMINAL  
CHARGES LAID AGAINST  
MUFTI IBRAHIM SALEJEE  
OF THE  
TA'LEEMUDDIN MADRASAH  
OF ISIPINGO***

-----

***“AND WHO IS A GREATER ZAALIM (OPPRESSOR) THAN THE ONE WHO PREVENTS  
THE THIKR OF ALLAH TAKING PLACE IN THE MUSAAJID AND HE STRIVES TO RUIN  
THE MUSAAJID?”***

***(AL-BAQARAH, AAYAT 114)***

**Bismillaahir Rahmanir Raheem**

# THE ZANAADDEEQ AND MUNAAFEEQ – THE WORST ENEMIES OF ISLAM

## THE HUMAN DEVILS AND THE JINN DEVILS

***“Thus have We appointed for every Nabi enemies who are human devils and jinn devils. They whisper to one another adorned statements of deception (for misleading and deceiving) [Al-An’aam, 112]***

An unknown entity dubbing itself ‘house of the ummah’, in an ‘open letter’ to Ta’leemuddin Madrasah of Isipingo Beach, has exhibited its colossal *jahaalat* of the Shariah. In its pontification of *jahaalat*, which is an abortive attempt to change the Law of Allah Ta’ala pertaining to Salaat and the Musaaqid, this miscreant entity in defence of the closure of the Musaaqid, presents the following spurious and haraam arguments:

### Defiance?

(1) *“The whole defiance is premised on the notion that it is a ‘sin’ not to pray in mosques, and those allowing such a state of affairs will be questioned by Allah.”*

In this averment, the miscreant entity is alleging that:

- (a) The Mufti Sahib and the trustees of the Isipingo Musjid are acting in criminal defiance of the authorities.
  
- (b) It is **not** a sin **not** to pray in the Musaajid.
  
- (c) The Ulama and trustees who allow Salaat in the Musaajid will be questioned by Allah Ta'ala.

**As for (a) above:** The Mufti Sahib and the trustees of the Musjid are not acting in criminal defiance of the authorities as this munaafiq entity implies or alleges. It is the constitutional and religious right of Muslims to perform Ibaadat in the Musjid. This stance of the Mufti Sahib is based on the constitutional imperative of the freedom of religion as enshrined in the Bill of Rights. It is the government who is in violation of the constitution. In addition to having decreed large scale erosion of civil liberties of the whole populace, the government has totally eliminated our right of freedom of worship in our Musaajid.

For having trampled on our right of worship in the Musaaajid, the government has shown no rationality. Its decree in this regard is bereft of rationality and legal validity. This point will be elaborated further on, Insha-Allah. At this juncture it suffices to say that the proclamation of the Shariah's Law pertaining to Fardh Salaat with Jamaat and Jumuah Salaat in the Musaaajid should not in any way be misinterpreted to mean '**defiance**' of the government.

**(b) As for (b) above:** The claim of the entity confirms its gross *jahaalat*. This entity has no right to open its mouth on matters of Shar'i import. It has exhibited its ignorance in this baseless averment.

On the contrary, it is a grievous sin – a major sin – a **Kabeerah** sin – to abstain from Jamaat Salaat in the Musjid. It is not permissible for males to perform Salaat at home when there is a nearby Musjid or even a Jamaat Khaanah. A male who absents himself from Jamaat Salaat is a **faasiq**.

A Musjid is not a recreational venue. It is not a hall for functions and merrymaking. It is the HOUSE of ALLAH where our Creator is worshipped with utmost reverence and dignity by worshippers who are pure – physically and spiritually. The Qur'aan explicitly states that the Musaaajid are exclusively for the Ibaadat of Allah Azza Wa Jal. Musjids are not museums.

The idea that it is not a sin not to pray in the Masjid is vehemently dismissed with contempt. It is akin to kufr to make such spurious claims in diametric conflict with the Shariah. Jamaat Salaat and Jumuah Salaat are **Wajib** in the Musaaqid. It is not permissible to perform Fardh Salaat in the homes in the vicinity of a Masjid.

Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said that he intended to burn down the houses of those males who absent themselves from the Masjid and perform Salaat at home. Were it not for the women and children, Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) would have burnt down such houses.

In a genuine Islamic state, males who absent themselves from Jamaat Salaat in the Masjid without valid Shar'i reason will be arrested, and if necessary, whipped.

**(c) As for (c) above:** What is the basis for this stupid spurious averment. In which Kitaab of the Shariah is this stupidity mentioned? Did the miscreant entity receive Wahi or Ilhaam from Allah Ta'ala for this ludicrous? There is absolutely no validity for this claim.

On the contrary, Allah Ta'ala will question and punish those Ulama who had aided the satanic cause of banning Salaat in the Musaaqid and closing the Musaaqid. Such molvis and sheikhs are in reality agents of Iblees whose mission is the destruction of Islam. This miscreant entity which falsely avers to be a representative of the Ummah is also in the employ of Iblees to further his satanic mission.

## Closure of Musaaqid

(2) The miscreant entity alleges:

*“The mufti in one of his clips goes so far as to state that those calling for the temporary ‘closure’ of the mosques are munafiques (hypocrites) .....With one stroke of the pen, the learned Mufti has virtually condemned, by implication, his fellow learned ulema of having excluded themselves from the fold.”*

There is no need for relying on implication when stating the Haqq. Undoubtedly, those molvis who had closed the Musaaqid and banned Salaat in the Musaaqid are **Munaafiqs** and **Murtads**. Such vile molvis come fully within the purview of the Qur’aanic Aayat:

*“Who is a greater zaalim (oppressor) than the one who prevents the Name of Allah from the Musaaqid, and he strives in the ruin of the Musaaqid?”*

*(Al-Baqarah, 114)*

[DOWNLOAD FULL BOOK](#)