

CAPE ACCORD- “FOREIGN INTERESTS”

Written by Administrator

Saturday, 23 June 2018 12:15 - Last Updated Saturday, 23 June 2018 14:38

THE BAATIL CAPE ACCORD-

“FOREIGN INTERESTS”

A SWEET-NATURED FRONT TO PROMOTE DISASTROUS COUNTER-EXTREMISM

(ADVOCATE FEROZE BODA)

Those who refuse to sign Cape Accord are not “extremists” and we must not fall prey to this language of division: CAGE Africa

Johannesburg – The recent Cape Accord aims to enforce an agreement between Sunni Muslims and Shia in South Africa, despite many prominent leaders citing deeply held beliefs that prohibit them from signing such an agreement.

However, refraining from signing this accord is not an indicator of “extremism” as some individuals have claimed, since whether such agreements may be entered into in the first place is something that remains to be debated from the perspective of Islamic jurisprudence.

Rather, labelling individuals and organisations as “extremists” for not signing such an Accord, when their reasons may be valid, indicates that there are foreign interests

behind this Accord.

In fact, the Accord appears to be a sweet-natured front to promote disastrous counter-extremism programmes modelled on the failed British programme PREVENT.

PREVENT has had devastating results in Britain. These results include Muslims labelled as "extremist" having their bank accounts closed and passports removed for speaking up against British foreign policy. These individuals often do not get the chance to adequately challenge this divisive label.

PREVENT has not only targeted Muslims, but has resulted in the surveillance and criminalisation of individuals and organisations that challenge state power and policy, including environmental activists, pro-Palestinian activists and socialist groups.

Counter-extremism programmes are sold in different ways depending on the complexities of Muslim communities within each country, but they trade on the fear of violence, and have had counter-productive effects, including causing marginalisation, alienation and anger.

We are alarmed that a similar programme appears to be gathering momentum in South Africa, under the guise of facilitating harmony, when in fact the result so far has quite clearly been the exact opposite and has resulted in division within the Sunni Muslim community.

Feroze Boda, spokesperson for CAGE Africa, said:

"While dialogue between different beliefs is essential, employing the language of foreign counter-extremism initiatives by labelling those who refuse to sign the Accord as "extremist", is a mistake. Individuals and organisations have a right to identify beliefs that run contrary to their own deeply held views, and to choose to avoid associating with them. This is called freedom of choice."

"The term "extremist" has not been adequately interrogated and has been used to marginalise and criminalise according to a preconceived agenda. The definition of "extremism" globally remains so broad that the UN has warned that using the labels endangers freedom of speech and aggravates existing differences. South Africa must not go down this slippery slope, the end of which is the censorship of belief."

"We call for dialogue between differing communities, without the involvement or influence of foreign agencies whose interests have been proven time and again by documentation we have gathered meticulously over the years, to be most definitely not those of the Muslim community, though they may appear otherwise."

[Ends] Press enquiries: press@cageuk.org

About CAGE: CAGE is a UK based advocacy organisation that campaigns on behalf of communities adversely affected by abuses of the rule of law under the 'War on Terror'. We strive for a world free from oppression and injustice.

(End of Feroze Boda's Article)

Our Comments

Advocate Feroze Boda has Alhamdulillah presented the diabolical kufr 'Cape Accord' in its true sinister colours of deception. He has hit the nail squarely on the head to show who exactly are the extremists who plot dissent and discord in the Muslim community of South Africa. The authors and protagonists of Shaytaani discord are the Munafiqeen of the Shiah inspired 'Cape Accord' of discord.

It is the religious and constitutional right of every Muslim to oppose and clamour against this Shiah-inspired instrument, in the same way that the Muslim community had opposed and clamoured against the MPL (Muslim Personal Law), and are still opposing and clamouring against the MMB (Muslim Marriages Bill). It is the religious and constitutional right of the Ulama to inform the Ummah that:

CAPE ACCORD- "FOREIGN INTERESTS"

Written by Administrator

Saturday, 23 June 2018 12:15 - Last Updated Saturday, 23 June 2018 14:38

(1) Shiahs are not Muslim.

(2) The 'Cape Accord' is Shiah inspired.

(3) It is Islamically not permissible to join this 'Accord' of discord and division.

9 Shawwaal 1439 - 23 June 2018