

KUFR IN THE MUSJID – OUR RESPONSE

Written by Administrator

Saturday, 01 December 2018 11:14 - Last Updated Saturday, 01 December 2018 11:37

KUFR IN THE MUSJID – OUR RESPONSE

In response to our critique and condemnation of the kufr perpetrated by Mumtaazil 'Haq' (*Mumt aazul Baatil*), the imam of a Musjid in U.K., he issued a rebuttal for which our response and refutation are as follows:

He claims in his response that:

(1) THE INTER-FAITH KUFR DIALOGUE WAS NOT IN THE “MASJID DESIGNATED AREA”

This response is devoid of Shar'i substance and validity. Regardless of the venue not being part of the actual Musjid area, it is an annex of the Musjid, hence as far as the sanctity of the place is concerned, it enjoys the same sanctity as that of the Musjid. Even the Wudhu Khaanah and the Sehn area have to be treated as if these are part of the Musjid, and even the immediate environ outside the Musjid should be respected. Smoking, satanism and kufr propagation are not permitted even outside the doors of the Musjid on any area which is part of the Musjid's Waqf land.

Furthermore, of importance is the fact of the propagation of kufr , and this propagation of kufr to Muslims in the Musjid environs was by invitation, and that too, by the invitation of the Imaam. The dispute does not pertain to the technicality of whether the area in which the kufr was

KUFR IN THE MUSJID – OUR RESPONSE

Written by Administrator

Saturday, 01 December 2018 11:14 - Last Updated Saturday, 01 December 2018 11:37

propagated and Islam insulted is part of the Musjid proper or not. In the context of our discussion this technicality is of peripheral or of no significance. With this technicality, the imam attempts to divert attention from the main, vile, dastardly issue of the priest having been invited to propound kufr and insult Islam inside the Musjid area.

