



PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth, 6056
South Africa

Page | 1

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za

www.themajlis.co.za

30 Jamadith Thaani 1443 – 2 February 2022

ABRAHAM RASOOL'S 'FULL RESPONSE' DOES NOT EXONERATE HIM FROM HIS GLARING KUFR

Question

In response to the article of *The Majlis*, Mr. Ebrahim Rasool has released what he calls “the full context” dated 10 January 2015. However, I do not find any valid defence for him in his flagrant kufr of having insulted Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam), and for which insult *The Majlis* took him to task. Is there any mitigation for his kufr in the ‘full context’ which he has issued to vindicate himself?

Answer

His ‘full context’ besides being bunkum and rubbish, does not answer the charge of kufr levelled against him. Nothing mentioned in his stupid, antiquated ‘full context’ regurgitation exonerates him from the blasphemy with which he has targeted Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). His stupid labyrinthal narrative – which is also flooded with kufr – in no way whatsoever is a response to the following blasphemy he has uttered. His statement:

“You see, Islam doesn’t have a problem with depicting the Prophet as mad, bloodthirsty and womanising. It doesn’t have a problem with depicting the Prophet humorously. Islam has a problem with depiction.”

exposes his hidden nifaaq – such nifaaq which is worse than the collective nifaaq of all the Munaafiqeen who lived during the age of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). No Munaafiq had ever disgorged such villainous filth and blasphemy as the aforementioned statement excreted by this unfortunate specimen of humanity whose doom of perdition in the Akhirah is sealed – the doom of eternal residency in Jahannam.

Nothing – absolutely nothing in his disgorgement of rubbish in his bunkum ‘full context’ answers the charge against him. There is absolutely no relationship between the excrement of his article and the charge of kufr stemming from the aforementioned blasphemy.

Can a true Mu'min imagine even a mad Muslim saying that there is no problem depicting Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) as being “bloodthirsty and womanising” – Nauthubillah! Can a true Mu'min ever tolerate subjecting Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) to mockery – making fun of the greatest Nabi of Allah Ta'ala?

This character should not let loose red herrings to detract from the villainy of his blasphemy. Apart from all the other rubbish effluvium he has disgorged in his ‘full context’ stupidity, he is currently being nailed on only the one statement of blasphemy.

If someone has to say that this munaafiq chap is bloodthirsty or some other derogative epithet hurled at him, he will run straight to the kuffaar court to sue for defamation. But defaming Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) in worst possible manner is fine with him. Who can ever doubt the kufr which stems from a brain vermiculated and necrotized by kufr?

In its article dated 28 January 2022, *The Majlis* has not concerned itself with the deluge of rubbish in his article of 2015. He has been criticized on only the one basis of his blasphemous statement:

“You see, Islam doesn’t have a problem with depicting the Prophet as mad, bloodthirsty and womanising. It doesn’t have a problem with depicting the Prophet humorously. Islam has a problem with depiction.”