PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth, 6056
South Africa

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za
The Majlis

20 Rabiuth Thaani 1447 – 13 October 2025

SAHUC'S SHENANIGANS & ITS STUPID THREAT OF LEGAL ACTION

In a desperate bid to pull wool over the eyes of the unsuspecting, the unwary and the ignorant, SAHUC issued a devious baseless 'press release'. SAHUC has made a futile attempt to sweep under the carpet the range of its legal and Shar'i infractions which do not permit it to continue in the business in which it is entangled.

Financial Mismanagement

In this regard, SAHUC's financial statements qualify for use in the toilet. The contents of the financial statements for the vast majority of the community are hieroglyphics.

The financial statements puzzle do not exonerate SAHUC from the many infractions which are levelled against it.

SAHUC, in its calculated bid to pull wool over the eyes of the community states in its puerile press stupidity:

"SAHUC welcomes any independent investigation or probe by the relevant authorities."

Indeed, SAHUC has attempted a gargantuan gamble in knowingly making this flaccid, stupid disgorgement when it is aware that such an investigation was in fact instituted. This averment is made in the hope that the community is still ignorant of the legal probes already instituted against SAHUC's auditors.

IRBA (Independent Regulatory Board for Auditors) found UUCSA's auditors guilty of misconduct and had imposed a fine of R700,000. In its Investigations report, IRBA states: *"A total of 20 matters were finalised by fines issued."* Elaborating its charges against SAHUC, IRBA states:

Building 2 Greenstone Hill Office Park Emerald Boulevard Modderfontein **PO Box 8237 Greenstone 1616 Johannesburg South Africa Tel** 087 940 8800 **E-mail** investigations@irba.co.za **Internet** www.irba.co.za

Our reference: K1/2/3840B
3 February 2022

Mr A Gaffar
Sent per e-mail: secretary@ucsah.org.za
And cc: Asadgaffar01@gmail.com

Dear Mr Gaffar

COMPLAINT OF IMPROPER CONDUCT BY MR RAWAT AGAINST MR Y MOHAMED RE: SOUTH AFRICAN HAJJ AND UMRAH COUNCIL

At its meeting held on 20 January 2022, the Enforcement Committee having taken into account all the submissions received from the parties in this matter, the recommendations of the Investigating Committee, as well as applying their own professional judgement, resolved that the Respondent in this matter be charged with improper conduct. The Respondent was sanctioned as follows:

1. in respect of charge 1

a fine of R100 000, of which R75 000 would be suspended for 5 years on condition that the Respondent is not found guilty of any improper conduct during the period of suspension;

in respect of charge 2
a fine of R100 000, of which R75 000 would be suspended for 5 years on condition that the Respondent is not found guilty of any improper conduct during the period of suspension;

in respect of charge 3
a fine of R100 000, of which R75 000 would be suspended for 5 years on condition that the Respondent is not found guilty of any improper conduct during the period of suspension;

in respect of charge 4
a fine of R100 000, of which R75 000 would be suspended for 5 years on condition that the Respondent is not found guilty of any improper conduct during the period of suspension;

in respect of charge 5

a fine of R100 000, of which R75 000 would be suspended for 5 years on condition that the Respondent is not found guilty of any improper conduct during the period of suspension;

2. within 60 days of the imposition of the sentence the Respondent must arrange and ensure that he and his staff receive training by a recognised external service provider on the practical application of Auditing Standards;

3. there will be no order as to costs; and

4. publication by the IRBA will be in general terms only.

in respect of charge 6
a fine of R100 000, of which R75 000 would be suspended for 5 years on condition that the Respondent is not found guilty of any improper conduct during the period of suspension;

in respect of charge 7
a fine of R100 000, of which R75 000 would be suspended for 5 years on condition that the Respondent is not found guilty of any improper conduct during the period of suspension;

This matter will be reported in the March 2022 quarterly IRBA newsletter in the Investigations Department report, and can be found on the IRBA website at the following link: https://www.irba.co.za/library/irba-news

Please take note that the information contained in this document is confidential and is only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published, or redistributed without the prior written consent of the IRBA.

We have closed our file herein.

Yours faithfully
JILLIAN BAILEY
DIRECTOR: INVESTIGATIONS

(End of IRBA's letter)

Aboobakar Mohammed Kharva who is a chartered Accountant and Registered Auditor states in his letter dated 8 October 2025 addressed to Mufti A.K.Hoosen:

"No reliance can be placed on the SAHUC Audited Financial Statements. In fact, your Attorneys or legal team should demand that the previous and current Auditors should pay back all the audit fees to SAHUC to refund Hujjaaj their registration fees if there is a shortfall and even the SAHUC Board and all its member organisations should be held liable for damages for approving faulty and deficient Financial Statements."

Thus, our claim that SAHUC's financial statements are a conglomeration of devious hieroglyphics has sound validity.

Further exposing SAHUC's shenanigans, the Chartered Accountant states:

"Moaaz Casoo deliberately spoke a blatant lie when he told you that "no one was FINED" with regard to SAHUC Financial Statements, and this is one of the reasons why detractors of SAHUC do not appear to have confidence in and trust some of the officials with regard to honest, fair and transparent disclosures."

This averment thoroughly debunks SAHUC's claim: *"The organisation operates with full transparency and accountability."* Further, excoriating SAHUC's claim of 'transparency and accountability', Aboobakar Kharva, the Chartered Accountant states:

*"These charges (that is for which R700,000 in fines were imposed by IRBA) are an indictment on SAHUC as their previous Auditors whose auditng was substandard, and they covered-up for SAHUC which consequently means that no reliance can be placed on the SAHUC Financial Statements. ....
Therefore, Moaaz Cassoo is quite dishonest when he flippantly tried to downplay the activism of Yacoob Rawat of Haj Watch.*

"...........................It was public knowledge that the SAHUC Sub-Financial Statements were under investigation by IRBA and that the result of their investigations was going to be made available to the South African Muslim public. Unfortunately, this did not transpire and the IRBA findings appear to have been swept under the carpet perhaps because, inter alia, the previous Ameer of UCSAH having a cozy relationship with Shaheen Essop who is SAHUC's spin doctor."

Regarding even the current auditors of SAHUC, Abookar Kharva states:

*"The current SAHUC Auditors are no better than their predecessors and no confidence and reliance can be placed on their auditing standards with regard to SAHUC's Financial Statements.
"What is more revealing is that, in the CIPC Full Dosclosure SAHUC's current Auditors submit Annual Returns for this SAHUC NPC, and it discloses that this entity is "active" and "in business". So, the question begs what business is being conducted by this incorporated entity "SAHUC NPC"?
Therefore, no confidence can be placed on SAHUC's Financial Statements as there appears to be two parallel entities operating in the name of SAHUC. This discrepency is a very serious irregularity and both SAHUC and its Auditors need to be hauled over the coals."*

No confidence on SAHUC Board Members

Abooakar Kharwa, the Chartered Accountant says:

"Paragraph 3.11 on page 9 of the SAHUC Constitution under the heading 'Aims and Objectives", state that Activities of SAHUC should be carried on in a nonprofit manner. However, the Statement of Financial Position (see attached extract of SAHUC's 2024 Financial Statements) indicates that SAHUC as of 31 March 2024 had over R15 million in Cash and Cash Equivalents and over R18 million in Retained earnings which actually should be described and Accumulated Profits as it has amassed R18 million over the past 18 years, in other words on average it had been making approximately R1 million per year in profits which indicates that Hujaaj have been overcharged on SAHUC's registration and accreditation fees. How can confidence be placed in the current SAHUC Board members who are not getting their calculations correct and are overcharging Hujaaj."

Pulling wool over the eyes

Aboobakar Kharva states in his letter:

I am copying Yacoob Rawat of Haj Watch and Hafiz Abu Bakr Rawat of UCSAH as they should be afforded an opportunity and right of reply to some of the disingenious and dishonest comments made by Moaaz Casoo who is trying to pull wool over the listeners' eyes. The above and attached speaks volumes of quite a different picture to what is being spun out on air to the public."

(End of Aboobakar Kharva's comments)

The R48 million assets of SAHUC

In this regard, SAHUC alleges: *"SAHUC............invites anyone alleging that it is holding R48 million to provide actual, factual proof thereof."*

What a conspicuously stupid invitation! SAHUC should demand the proof from its own Ameer, Mr.Ismail Qulvadia who has been SAHUC's Ameer for the past 18 years. He has claimed that SAHUC is holding R48 million worth of assets. His claim is on record and we have already published it in an earlier exposure of SAHUC's shenanigans. Therefore, SAHUC should take up cudgels with its own Ameer.

Furthermore, regardless of there being no paper or factual proof for the R48 million claim, there is most certainly actual and factual proof for at least R25 million which is stated in SAHUC's own most recent financial statement of hieroglyphics. Mr.Qulvadia should, without resorting to spinning and stupid mental gymnastics, explain the discrepancy between his claim of R48 million and the R25 million reflected in the dubious Financial Statement of this year.

Non-Refundable Registration Fee

In this regard the donkey kuffaar entity, SAHUC, states:

"The matter is currently under review, and a final decision will be communicated to the public once concluded."

This is a dubious chimera which is being hung to divert attention from the real and serious issues besides the question of refunding registration fees. SAHUC mistakenly believes that it will be let off the hook, and the chapter of its shenanigans will close, and the entire haraam episode be swept under the carpet, if it now belatedly refunds the registration fees which had been extravagated in a haraam manner from the Hujjaaj.

The issue of contention is not confined to the haraam 'non-refundable registration fees'. It involves the entire operation and existence of this haraam SAHUC entity. Let the board members and any others who are slinking in the folds of SAHUC understand well that restitution of SAHUC's assets is not restricted to the issue of registration fees. It is not only the R300 and R400 so-called 'non-refundable registration fee' which is being contested.

SAHUC, we understand believes that its members are Muslims. If our assumption is correct, then it devolves on SAHUC to have all issues related to its operations placed under the scrutiny of the Shariah. It is the Shariah that will decide the matters, not the law of Taaghoot. In terms of the Shariah, all the assets of SAHUC belong to the Hujjaaj who had provided the funds which SAHUC had invested in haraam ways to amass the R48 million/R25 million assets.

SAHUC's Flippant threat of 'legal proceedings'

Regarding this stupid threat of flippancy, SAHUC states:

"Failing such retraction and apology, SAHUC will consider instituting legal proceedings for defamation to safeguard its reputation and the trust placed in it by the Muslim community."

Quite jocular and laughable! SAHUC, if it ever enjoyed a good reputation in its inceptional stage, should know that its reputation has been shattered by all its shenanigans, and that the Muslim community has no trust in SAHUC. There are numerous facts to testify for the *person non grata* status of SAHUC.

SAHUC should be prepared for legal proceedings to be instituted against it in the court of Taghoot which it prefers for adjudication.

THE SAHUC SAGA HAS NOT ENDED!!!